A hitchhiker’s guide to the Maritimes: anthropogenic transport facilitates long-distance dispersal of an invasive marine crab to Newfoundland
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ABSTRACT

Aim To determine timing, source and vector for the recent introduction of the European green crab, *Carcinus maenas* (Linnaeus, 1758), to Newfoundland using multiple lines of evidence.

Location Founding populations in Placentia Bay, Newfoundland, Canada and potential source populations in the north-west Atlantic (NWA) and Europe.

Methods We analysed mitochondrial and microsatellite genetic data from European and NWA populations sampled during 1999–2002 to determine probable source locations and vectors for the Placentia Bay introduction discovered in 2007. We also analysed Placentia Bay demographic data and shipping records to look for congruent patterns with genetic analyses.

Results Demographic data and surveys suggested that *C. maenas* populations are established and were in Placentia Bay for several years (c. 2002) prior to discovery. Genetic data corroboratively suggested central/western Scotian Shelf populations (e.g., Halifax) as the likely source area for the anthropogenic introduction. These Scotian Shelf populations were within an admixture zone made up of genotypes from both the earlier (early 1800s) and later (late 1900s) introductions of the crab to the NWA from Europe. Placentia Bay also exhibited this mixed ancestry. Probable introduction vectors included vessel traffic and shipping, especially vessels carrying ballast water.

Main conclusions *Carcinus maenas* overcame considerable natural barriers (i.e., coastal and ocean currents) via anthropogenic transport to become established and abundant in Newfoundland. Our study thus demonstrates how non-native populations can be important secondary sources of introduction especially when aided by human transport. Inference of source populations was possible owing to the existence of an admixture zone in central/western Nova Scotia made up of southern and northern genotypes corresponding with the crab’s two historical introductions. Coastal vessel traffic was found to be a likely vector for the crab’s spread to Newfoundland. Our study demonstrates that there is considerable risk for continued introduction or reintroduction of *C. maenas* throughout the NWA.
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Blakeslee et al., 2008; Geller et al., 2010). As such, it might take years or even centuries before ambiguous invasions can be properly resolved or at least better understood (e.g., Ruiz et al., 2000; Wonham & Carlton, 2005; Brawley et al., 2009).

The recent discovery of the globally invasive European green crab, Carcinus maenas (Linnaeus, 1758), in Newfoundland, Canada (Best et al., 2009; McKenzie et al., 2010) is one of these problematic cases. The crab is widespread throughout the North Atlantic, with several viable vectors, and at present is found on almost every continent across the globe (Carlton & Cohen, 2003). Its first non-native record is from the early 19th century in the north-eastern United States (Say 1817), where it was probably transported via rock ballast or hull fouling (Behrens Yamada, 2001). Over the next 100 years, the crab spread north through the Gulf of Maine, finally reaching the Canadian border in 1951. Populations spread around the Bay of Fundy and south-eastern Nova Scotia in the 1960s and were eventually observed in north-eastern Nova Scotia in the 1990s (Carlton & Cohen, 2003). Initially, it appeared as if the north-eastern populations were the result of a range expansion from the south (Audet et al., 2003); however, Roman (2006) discovered that the northern populations were actually from a cryptic secondary introduction event, probably originating from northern Europe. Carcinus maenas was thus able to bypass a challenging advection obstacle (i.e., predominantly southerly alongshore currents) to successfully establish in north-eastern Canada (Pringle et al., in prep.).

While now found throughout much of the Canadian Maritimes, the green crab had been absent from coastal waters of Newfoundland until recently. In August 2007, a mixed population of juvenile and adult crabs was discovered in North Harbour (NH), Placentia Bay, in south-eastern Newfoundland (Klassen & Locke, 2007). According to fishermen accounts [reported to Canadian Department of Fisheries and Oceans (DFO) officials], crabs similar in appearance had been observed for c. 4 years prior to these official records. More extensive surveys conducted in September 2007 detected crabs at several other Placentia Bay sites, sometimes in high abundance (McKenzie et al., 2007).

Based on this evidence, the green crab has likely been in Newfoundland for several years, yet how it arrived and where it came from remains unclear. It is unlikely that the colonization occurred via natural current-driven dispersal from eastern Nova Scotia because ocean circulation is dominated by the southwest-ward flow from the Gulf of St. Lawrence and Newfoundland shelf (Han et al., 1999); thus crab larvae would be carried in the wrong direction. Alternatively, numerous human activities might have transported the crab, such as commercial shipping, recreational boating or fishing, as these are all active, potential vectors into Newfoundland waters. Resolving source populations for the introduction is also complicated by the crab’s invasion history in the north-west Atlantic (NWA) – Carcinus maenas has had at least two introduction events from Europe (Roman, 2006), and its successful establishment in the eastern United States and Atlantic Canada provide numerous sources for intracoastal anthropogenic movements. Thus, there are two probable source regions: the crab’s native European range or introduced populations from the NWA.

Genetic tools are useful in resolving invasion histories, helping to determine likely source locations and suggesting natural or anthropogenic vectors (Roman, 2006; Blakeslee et al., 2008; Darling et al., 2008; Geller et al., 2010). As such, we analysed genetic data from European and NWA populations to determine the probable source and vector for the Placentia Bay introduction using multiple loci from mitochondrial and microsatellite nuclear genes. We also obtained demographic data and shipping records for Placentia Bay to determine whether congruent patterns existed with our genetic analyses – shown to be an effective paired analysis in other studies (e.g., Brawley et al., 2009).

METHODS

Sampling

North Atlantic sampling

Our NWA data come from sampling performed 1999–2002 by J.R. (these samples were included in Roman, 2003, 2006) from 34 sites ranging from Louisbourg, Nova Scotia to Freeport, New York (Table 1). For comparison to Europe, we used previously published sequences and distribution data from Roman & Palumbi (2004) collected during the years 1999–2001 from 14 sites ranging from Trondheim, Norway, to Palmones, Spain, and including the British Isles, Faeroe Islands and Iceland. Our study focuses on NWA and European samples collected during the years 1999–2002 because crabs sampled during this period would most closely represent potential predecessors for the population(s) of crabs found in Newfoundland. Fish harvesters reported finding the crab in Placentia Bay for c. 4 years prior to the first official reporting in 2007 (McKenzie, pers. comm.), and studies conducted in Placentia Bay in 1997–99 on juvenile cod in eel grass beds (Robichaud & Rose, 2006; Robichaud, pers. comm.) and in 2002 on rock crab (Cancer irroratus) (DFA 2002) found no indication of green crabs. Both sets of information conservatively suggest that Carcinus maenas was unlikely to have been present in Newfoundland prior to 2002; thus, samples from 1999 to 2002 likely include individuals that directly contributed to initial founding populations in Placentia Bay.

Newfoundland surveys and sampling

A province-wide aquatic invasive species survey was conducted in September 2007 following the discovery of Carcinus maenas in Placentia Bay (McKenzie et al., 2007; Fig. 1). This survey was led by DFO in collaboration with Memorial University of Newfoundland (MUN) and the Provincial Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture (DFA) (Fig. 1). A combination of methods was used to assess the distribution and abundance
Table 1  Site information for Europe, north-west Atlantic (NWA) and Newfoundland, including site codes, sample sizes, collection years and population-level genetic diversity (± standard deviation) in terms of haplotypes ($H$). Regions (bold), subregions (italics) and subdivisions (>) are also included, and totals (grey shading) are listed at the bottom of each. Numbers in parentheses within microsatellite sample size column are for the collection years 2000 and 2002, separately. European and NWA site information comes from Roman (2003), Roman & Palumbi (2004), and Roman (2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions, subregions, and sites</th>
<th>Site code</th>
<th>Collection year</th>
<th>mtDNA sample size ($n$)</th>
<th>Microsatellite sample size ($n$)</th>
<th>Haplotype diversity ($H$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Europe Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmones, Spain</td>
<td>PAL</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cádiz, Spain</td>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aveiro, Portugal</td>
<td>AVE</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilbao, Spain</td>
<td>BIL</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roscoff, France</td>
<td>ROS</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fowey, UK</td>
<td>FOW</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoek van Holland, the Netherlands</td>
<td>HVH</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bremerhaven, Germany</td>
<td>BRE</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Göteborg, Sweden</td>
<td>GOT</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oslo, Norway</td>
<td>OSL</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mongstad, Norway</td>
<td>MON</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trondheim, Norway</td>
<td>TRO</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torshavn, Faeroe Islands</td>
<td>FAE</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seltjarnarnes, Iceland</td>
<td>ICE</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NWA Region</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States/original introduction subregion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeport, New York</td>
<td>FRE</td>
<td>1999</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rye, New York</td>
<td>RYE</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnstable, Massachusetts</td>
<td>BAR</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wintthrop, Massachusetts</td>
<td>WIN</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nahant, Massachusetts</td>
<td>NAH</td>
<td>1999, 2002</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isles of Shoals, Maine</td>
<td>SHO</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chebeague, Maine</td>
<td>CHB</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Harbor, Maine</td>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searsport, Maine</td>
<td>SEA</td>
<td>2001, 2002</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoodic, Maine</td>
<td>SCH</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lubec, Maine</td>
<td>LUB</td>
<td>1999, 2002</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subregional Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nova Scotia and New Brunswick/admixture zone subregion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Manan Island, New Brunswick</td>
<td>MAN</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chance Harbour, New Brunswick</td>
<td>CHH</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>25 (5, 20)</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Quaco, New Brunswick</td>
<td>WQC</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Enrage, New Brunswick</td>
<td>ENR</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape d’Or, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>DOR</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scots Bay, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>SCB</td>
<td>2001, 2002</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port George, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>PFG</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulliver’s Cove, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>GUL</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>29 (9, 20)</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grosset Coques, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>GRC</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subdivision Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central/western Scotian Shelf subregion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Sable, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>CPS</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Point, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27 (12, 15)</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad Cove, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>BRC</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chester, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>CHT</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halifax, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>HAL</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32 (12, 20)</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subdivision Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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of *C. maenas* in shallow water (1–5 m depth), including modified whelk pots, shoreline observations, beach seines and SCUBA diver transects. All *C. maenas* samples were bagged, labelled and immediately frozen. Two sites within Placentia Bay – NH and Come by Chance (CBC) – were selected for genetic analyses (Fig. 1; Table 1) because NH has the highest abundance of *C. maenas* in Placentia Bay with a broad size distribution suggesting a well-established population, and CBC is the closest population to oil and gas refineries (thus a port where vessels may be discharging ballast water).

**Table 1** (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions, subregions, and sites</th>
<th>Site code</th>
<th>Collection year</th>
<th>mtDNA sample size (n)</th>
<th>Microsatellite sample size (n)</th>
<th>Haplotype diversity (H)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Nova Scotia/secondary introduction subregion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musquodoboit, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>MSQ</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murphy’s Cove, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>MUR</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30(9, 21)</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torbay, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canso, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>CAN</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guysborough, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>GUY</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Hawkesbury, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>PTH</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Peters, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>SPB</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisbourg, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>LOU</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayview, Nova Scotia</td>
<td>BAY</td>
<td>2000, 2002</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subregional Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>236</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>885</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placentia Bay, Newfoundland Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Come by Chance, Newfoundland</td>
<td>CBC</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Harbour, Newfoundland</td>
<td>NH</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1** Sample sites for Newfoundland 2007 Aquatic Invasive Species Survey. Sites where *Carcinus maenas* (Linnaeus, 1758) were present are in red, and those sites where the crab were not detected* are in green. Stars indicate the sites at North Harbour (NH) and Come by Chance (CBC), where samples were taken for genetic analyses. *After these surveys, crabs were detected in 2009 at a site on the west coast of Newfoundland in Stephenville (DFO 2010).

**Genetic analyses**

*Mitochondrial sequencing*

NWA and European samples were extracted using a 10% Chelex solution from gills or muscle tissue of periopods (Roman & Palumbi, 2004). Newfoundland samples were extracted with a standard cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol (France et al., 1996) from gill tissues. All samples were amplified and sequenced using a 502-base pair
fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (COI) gene with primers and protocols designed for *Carcinus* (Roman & Palumbi, 2004), sequenced in both the forward and reverse directions, and aligned (with no gaps) by eye using the program Sequencher 4.8 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Two hundred and thirty European, 885 NWA and 42 Newfoundland (AY616437–AY616445, DQ523682–DQ523686, HQ129936–HQ129977) samples were included in mitochondrial analyses. Arlequin (Excoffier et al., 2005) was used to estimate genetic diversity indices and levels of population subdivision [analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA)] for NWA, European and Newfoundland populations, as well as to determine genetic relatedness between and among populations (pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$). Pairwise $\Phi_{ST}$ results were further explored using a multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis (using Primer 6; Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK) to look for spatial patterns between and among populations to determine the closest and most dissimilar connections between Newfoundland populations (individually and combined) and NWA and European populations. The closest connections are hypothesized to reveal likely source populations.

Microsatellite analyses

We genotyped 316 crabs (see Table 1) at microsatellite loci, Cma02EPA, Cma03EPA, Cma04EPA, Cma05EPA, Cma07EPA, Cma08EPA, Cma09EPA and Cma14EPA. Previously described annealing temperatures and PCR conditions (Tepolt et al., 2006) were adopted, with the exception of Cma08EPA (60°C annealing temperature). Amplified products were sized on an ABI 3730xl DNA Analyzer, and raw data were analysed using Genemarker v.1.60 (SoftGenetics, LLC, State College, PA, USA). Samples failing to amplify at three or more loci after two attempts were dropped from the data set; unfortunately, limits to DNA quality and quantity precluded amplification from all individuals in the mitochondrial analysis. We successfully genotyped 290 individuals from 19 NWA collections (14 sites, five of which were sampled in both 2000 and 2002) and 26 individuals from Placentia Bay, Newfoundland. Because European populations were excluded as direct sources for the Newfoundland introduction (see mitochondrial results), we did not include them in microsatellite analyses.

We adopted two methods to determine the most likely source(s) for the Newfoundland invasion. First, we utilized Bayesian inference in STRUCTURE v.2.2 (Falush et al., 2003), which predicts the population structure in the absence of a priori information on the spatial distribution of individuals by grouping genotypes into panmictic population clusters. We assessed likelihoods for models with the number of clusters ranging from $K = 1$ to $K = 8$, conducting five independent Markov Chain Monte Carlo runs at each value of $K$. For each run, $10^4$ generations were discarded as burn-in followed by $10^5$ generations of data collection. Given independent sources for the two invasion fronts in the Canadian Maritimes, we adopted a model with uncorrelated allele frequencies while allowing for admixture. To determine the value of $K$ best representing the true population structure, we employed the method of Evanno et al. (2005). We subsequently assessed the probability of coancestry of Newfoundland crabs in each of the predicted clusters to determine likely sources. In addition, we conducted assignment tests using the software GENECLASSE2 (Piry et al., 2004) based on the frequencies-based method of Paetkau et al. (1995). All 2000 and 2002 collection sites outside of Newfoundland were included as potential source populations. Assignments were made both for the Newfoundland population as a group and for individual crabs; probabilities for individual assignments were calculated through Monte Carlo resampling of 1000 simulated individuals.

We estimated the effective number of founders (the number of breeding individuals) establishing the Newfoundland population using the software NECO/NE (Anderson & Slatkin, 2007), which simulates the coalescent to estimate the likelihood of the number of ancestral founder lineages sampled from a known source. Based on assignment test results, we clustered crabs from populations comprising the most likely source area and used Placentia Bay individuals to represent the founding population. Simulations were run for $10^5$ Monte Carlo repetitions for founding populations ranging in size from 2 to 200 individuals – preliminary simulations suggested 200 as a reasonable upper limit. Time since colonization was set at five generations, and the intrinsic rate of population growth was set at $r = 0.5$. Effective number of founding lineages was computed by importance sampling from the generated probability distribution (20,000 Monte Carlo repetitions).

Newfoundland vessel traffic and ballast data

Shipping and vessel traffic information was obtained from ballast-water exchange reports provided by the Transport Canada National Ballast Water Database (focused on the 2005 and 2007 shipping seasons; data from prior years were not available) and the Eastern Canada Vessel Traffic Services, a database maintained by the Canadian Coast Guard (data included the years 2004–05). These data along with several east coast shipping and ballast-water risk reports, which had been assessed for a Newfoundland alternate ballast-water exchange zone study in McKenzie et al. (2010), were reviewed and compared with likely source populations determined in genetic analyses.

RESULTS

Placentia Bay demographic data

NH and CBC *C. maenas* displayed differences in abundance and size frequencies. NH crabs were more abundant and showed evidence of several size classes or cohorts, suggesting an established population, likely part of the system for several years (Fig. 2). The CBC population was less abundant, and smaller-size classes were most frequent. In native regions,
C. maenas typically attains a size of c. 20–mm carapace width (CW) in its first year and increases in size by c. 20–33% per moult, likely once per year after maturity (Klein Breteler, 1975). Thus, roughly, crabs could be c. 45–70 mm CW by their fifth year (when rounding to intervals of 5 mm using 20–33% increases per moult). Based on this size–age correlation, the largest C. maenas (> 70 mm CW) observed in both populations, but especially NH, indicate that the crabs could be at least 5 years old, and if the founding population included small juveniles, this would date the introduction to c. 2002. It is important to note, however, that growth data are temperature dependent and crabs will grow slower in colder waters; thus Newfoundland crabs may deviate a bit from these size–age correlations. On the whole, these data suggest that C. maenas has been present in Placentia Bay for several years, and size frequency distributions (Fig. 2) suggest that NH is well established and possibly an older population than CBC.

Mitochondrial analyses

Sixty-four haplotypes were found among 1144 crabs in all three regions. Here, we focus on comparisons between Newfoundland and the other two regions (see Roman, 2006 for Europe versus NWA comparisons). Sixty-one haplotypes were observed in Europe, 10 in NWA and four in Placentia Bay. All four Newfoundland haplotypes were a subset of NWA haplotypes (Fig. 3, see Table S1 in Supporting Information). AMOVA analyses suggest significant differentiation of individuals within- and among populations and among regions when all individuals, sites and regions are included. However, when independently compared, NWA and Newfoundland regions were not significantly differentiated (FCT $P = 0.606$), while comparisons between Europe and Newfoundland were marginally differentiated (FCT $P = 0.088$). These results suggest a closer connection (less differentiation) between Newfoundland and NWA as opposed to Newfoundland crabs from the two Placentia Bay populations, (a) North Harbour (NH) and (b) Come by Chance (CBC). Sizes are based upon crab carapace width (CW).
land and Europe (Table 2). In addition, Newfoundland’s genetic diversity ($H = 0.64 \pm 0.04$) is relatively high and not different from NWA’s genetic diversity ($H = 0.65 \pm 0.01$), while both are somewhat lower than Europe’s genetic diversity ($H = 0.88 \pm 0.01$) (Table 1).

Our haplotype map (Fig. 3) clearly demonstrates the division of NWA populations into three distinct subregions: (1) the original 1800s introduction subregion (US populations); (2) an admixture zone where haplotypes from the two introduction events have mixed (Canadian Bay of Fundy and central/western Scotian Shelf populations); and (3) the secondary late-1900s introduction subregion (eastern Nova Scotian populations). As described, regional-level AMOVA analyses suggest a closer connection between NWA and Newfoundland. However, when analysed at a finer scale, only subregion 2 (the admixture zone) was not significantly differentiated ($F_{CT} P = 0.477$) from Newfoundland. When further subdivided into Canadian Bay of Fundy and central/western Scotian Shelf groups, the Canadian Bay of Fundy was significantly differentiated from Newfoundland ($F_{CT} P = 0.014$), while the central/western Scotian Shelf was not ($F_{CT} P = 0.185$), which was especially true for CBC ($F_{CT} P = 0.709$) (Table 2).

Pairwise comparisons of haplotype frequencies also suggest the admixture zone as the likely source area for the Newfoundland introduction when the two Placentia Bay populations were both combined and explored independently (see Table S2). Our MDS plot demonstrates a clear separation of the NWA into distinct subregions (Fig. 4), and the two Newfoundland populations aligned themselves more closely with Nova Scotian populations than either Europe or the

Table 2 AMOVA table of differentiation indices for the mitochondrial data for various regional, subregional and subdivision comparisons of north-west Atlantic (NWA) and NEA populations to the NFLD introduced populations. Values in bold are significant at $P < 0.05$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Differentiation indices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR vs. NWA vs. NFLD</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWA vs. NFLD</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR vs. NFLD</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subregional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original introduction (US) vs. NFLD</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admixture zone vs. NFLD</td>
<td>0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second introduction</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E Nova Scotia) vs. NFLD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admixture zone subdivisions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canadian Bay of Fundy vs. NFLD</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central/western Scotian shelf vs. NFLD</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central/western Scotian shelf vs. CBC</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBC, Come by Chance.
*Marginally significant, $P < 0.10$.
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Anthropogenic transport facilitates dispersal to Newfoundland
United States, and this was especially true for CBC, which is located among central/western Scotian Shelf populations, like Halifax.

Microsatellite analyses

Bayesian inference of population structure for Newfoundland and NWA strongly supports a model with two genetically differentiated populations (Fig. 5a). Crabs collected from the United States and New Brunswick were uniformly assigned to cluster 1 (overall proportional membership = 0.94), and crabs from eastern Nova Scotia assigned strongly to cluster 2 (Fig. 5b); these clusters reliably correspond to the two historical invasions in the early 1800s and late 1900s. In contrast, individuals collected from intervening sites in the central/western Scotian Shelf displayed various levels of mixed ancestry between clusters 1 and 2, consistent with admixture between the two historical introductions. Similarly, crabs from Newfoundland also showed mixed ancestry. Mean coancestry of Newfoundland crabs in clusters 1 and 2 was 0.46 and 0.54, respectively, while mean coancestry of central/western Scotian Shelf crabs was 0.31 and 0.69, respectively (Fig. 5c).

Group-level assignment tests indicated that the most probable origin for Newfoundland genotypes was Cape Sable (99.84% probability), followed by Broad Cove (0.16%), both of which are found along the central/western Scotian Shelf. This is broadly consistent with individual assignments, where highest probability source populations were within the admixture zone 80% of the time (Table 3), although in these analyses Broad Cove was most frequently recognized as the highest probability source (9 out of the 26 Newfoundland individuals). Finally, an estimate of the effective number of founders establishing Placentia Bay was found to be 30 crabs (30.2), with 95% confidence intervals of 18.7–57.0 crabs.

Vessel traffic/ballast data

National data

In our analyses of shipping traffic between the Maritime Provinces and Placentia Bay via the Transport Canada National Ballast Water Database, we found major source locations for vessels entering CBC (the only Placentia Bay destination in the database) from the following Maritime
locations: Dalhousie and Saint John (New Brunswick); and Halifax, Dartmouth, and Point Tupper (Nova Scotia) (see Fig. S1). Saint John, Halifax and Dartmouth are all found within the admixture zone. Consistent with this information, data from the Canadian Coast Guard – Eastern Canada Region database for the 2004–05 shipping season included entries in Placentia Bay for CBC as well as two others, Whiffen Head and Argentia. Vessels travelling to these Newfoundland ports originated from the same four Maritime sites: Dalhousie, Saint John, Halifax and Dartmouth; as well as three additional sites in eastern Nova Scotia: Canso, North Sydney and Sydney.

**International data**

In reviewing studies of international shipping data (see review in McKenzie et al., 2010) to Placentia Bay, the ports at CBC, Whiffen Head and Argentia were consistently found to be the most frequented by international shipping traffic. In particular, the majority of vessels (85%) entering CBC during the 2000 shipping season used water ballast. Tankers make up the majority of ships discharging ballast in Newfoundland waters. Source locations for ships discharging ballast in Newfoundland waters were primarily from the north-eastern United States (Carver & Mallet, 2002). In addition, international shipping data provided by Transport Canada for traffic entering 18 Newfoundland ports in 2003 listed 646 vessels from 43 different locations (five different continents). CBC received a large number of these international vessels ($n = 111$), and most (52%) had travelled from North American ports, primarily the eastern United States (McKenzie et al., 2010).

**DISCUSSION**

Prior to human influence, marine dispersals were constrained by natural forces such as oceanic and coastal currents and the various associated challenges for successful settlement and establishment (e.g., finding suitable habitat and avoiding Allee effects). Currently, anthropogenic global transport, which is often associated with enhanced propagule pressure (e.g., via multiple introduction events), has allowed species to circumvent these obstacles and move to locations that were once challenging or near impossible to access (Ruiz et al., 1997; Wilson et al., 2009).

In the NWA, *C. maenas* has overcome the difficulties of advective dispersal via anthropogenic aid at least twice in the past 20 or 30 years. The first event was in the late 20th century, when a secondary introduction to the Canadian Maritimes allowed the crab to overcome advective downstream currents impacting northward range expansion from the United States (Roman, 2006). The second event is the Newfoundland introduction documented here. South-eastern Newfoundland is geographically quite distant from easternmost locations in

**Table 3** Individual assignment tests for microsatellite analysis. For each Newfoundland individual, the probability of assignment $P$ (the probability of an individual with the observed genotype occurring in the potential source population) is shown for the three most likely sources. Assignments to sources in the admixture zone (Cape Sable to Halifax in central/western Nova Scotia) are indicated in bold (80% of most probable assignments). Site codes and collection year (see Table 1) are listed below each assignment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment 1</th>
<th>Assignment 2</th>
<th>Assignment 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPT 2002</td>
<td>HAL 2000</td>
<td>CHH 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>CHH 2000</td>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>SPT 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>SPB 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0.218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>SPT 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RYE 2002</td>
<td>CHH 2002</td>
<td>SHO 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.383</td>
<td>0.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUR 2002</td>
<td>SPT 2002</td>
<td>MUR 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.478</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.840</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUB 1999</td>
<td>BAR 2002</td>
<td>SHO 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.574</td>
<td>0.474</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
<td>MUR 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.803</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUL 2000</td>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>CHH 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>MUR 2002</td>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>0.784</td>
<td>0.765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>SPB 2002</td>
<td>SPT 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAL 2000</td>
<td>CHH 2002</td>
<td>SPT 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAL 2000</td>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
<td>LUB 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.359</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>GUL 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.793</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHH 2002</td>
<td>SPT 2002</td>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>LUB 1999</td>
<td>CHH 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>LUB 1999</td>
<td>CHH 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPB 2002</td>
<td>SPT 2002</td>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
<td>MUR 2002</td>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>HAL 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRC 2000</td>
<td>CPS 2000</td>
<td>GUL 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Nova Scotia (c. 450 km), and source populations from the central/western Scotian Shelf are even farther (c. 800 km from Halifax to Placentia Bay). Moreover, the ocean circulation along the Scotian Shelf is dominated by a southwest-ward flow of water from the Gulf of St. Lawrence and the Newfoundland shelf (Han et al., 1999; Han & Loder, 2003; Han et al., 2008), so any naturally dispersing larvae would actually be pushed downstream away from Newfoundland. In short, the overall mean flow would not be able to transport larvae from Nova Scotia to Newfoundland. Anthropogenic transport, however, has easily and repeatedly transcended this barrier allowing *C. maenas* to establish Placentia Bay.

**Determining source and timing of introduction**

Our results strongly suggest a NWA and particularly Nova Scotian origin for the Newfoundland populations of *C. maenas* rather than a direct introduction from Europe. Specifically, source populations for the Newfoundland introduction likely came from an area in Nova Scotia characterized by a mixture of diversity from the 19th- and 20th-century introduction events to North America. These populations exhibit mixed ancestry at nuclear microsatellite and mitochondrial loci between two well-defined population clusters reflecting both the earlier and later introductions (Figs 3–5). In particular, several mitochondrial analyses (Table 2, Fig. 4, see Table S2) suggest the central/western Scotian Shelf (Halifax to Cape Sable) to be the most likely source area, especially for CBC, which can be clearly located within the cluster of these Scotian populations. Assignment tests for microsatellite data also consistently specify collection sites in this admixture zone as the most probable sources (Table 3), and individual crabs show distinct signatures of genetic admixture not observed in either eastern Nova Scotia, New Brunswick or the United States (Fig. 5). Although an introduction from the admixture zone appears the most plausible explanation for our results, we cannot definitively rule out an alternative hypothesis for multiple introductions from northern and southern NWA populations followed by *in situ* admixture (essentially resulting in Placentia Bay populations that ‘mimic’ the genetic composition of the admixture zone). This explanation is clearly less parsimonious than an introduction from the admixture zone, especially considering the presence of major ports, such as Halifax, within the area and congruent patterns in our molecular markers.

Although analyses of both mitochondrial and nuclear genetic loci indicate admixture between the two NWA invasion fronts, there appear to be differences between the two marker systems in terms of the geographic extent of the admixture zone. Specifically, mitochondrial data suggest that genetic introgression from the more recently introduced, northern *C. maenas* population has extended well into the western Bay of Fundy (Fig. 3). In contrast, microsatellite data show very little evidence of admixture at northern Bay of Fundy sites, and introgression of north-eastern Nova Scotian alleles is low even at sites along the southern Bay of Fundy (Fig. 5). Recent analyses suggest that the mitochondrial admixture zone continues to shift as prevailing currents drive larval recruitment primarily to the southwest (Pringle et al., in prep.), and these dynamics should be expected to result in similar shifts at nuclear loci. However, theoretical models (supported by a substantial body of empirical evidence) have shown that maternally inherited alleles are likely to introgress more rapidly than those that are biparentally inherited, particularly in cases of hybridization between populations with unequal densities (Chan & Levin, 2005; Wirtz, 1999).

Finally, a slight but discernable difference in haplotype frequencies was detected between the two Placentia Bay populations. More specifically, pairwise comparisons of haplotype frequencies demonstrated probable source matches for Placentia Bay from the central/western Scotian Shelf (Fig. 4). However, this trend was much stronger when CBC was independent of NH, possibly suggesting differing source vectors, source locations or timing of introduction between the populations; in addition, demographic data might also support NH as an older population (Fig. 2). On the other hand, given the geographic and genetic closeness between NH and CBC, this difference might simply be owing to sampling – more sampling could result in greater haplotypic convergence between the two populations. Regardless of whether we group these populations or not, our mitochondrial results still suggest that the Nova Scotian admixture zone is the most likely source area for the Newfoundland invasion.

**Probable vectors**

Many vectors have been responsible for the numerous introductions of *C. maenas* that have occurred globally, including vessel traffic and shipping (e.g., fishing vessels and gear, solid and water ballast, hull fouling); accidental transport with target aquaculture species; live seafood and baitworm trades; and aquaria escapees (Carlton & Cohen, 2003). The latter two are not currently active vectors between Newfoundland and Nova Scotia, and the movement of aquaculture products between provinces is monitored and subject to introduction and transfer regulations, making this a less likely vector as well (McKenzie, pers. comm.). This therefore leaves vessel traffic and shipping as the most likely vector candidates for the Newfoundland introduction.

Placentia Bay is one of the busiest bays in Newfoundland with multiple users, including commercial shipping, commercial and recreational fishing and recreational vessels. Presently, no regulations require commercial ships to exchange ballast when travelling between Canadian ports (Canada Shipping Act 2006, Transport Canada 2007); ballast-carrying ships are thus a highly likely vector for the Newfoundland introduction, especially given the strong connection we found in our shipping analyses between the Scotian Shelf (including Halifax) and CBC (see Fig. S1). CBC has the only oil refinery in Newfoundland, with the capacity to process more than 100,000 barrels of oil a day, making it a heavily utilized port for ballast-carrying ships, including those originating in the admixture zone. Furthermore, a recent Canadian risk assessment (to
establish alternate ballast exchange zones for the Newfoundland and Labrador region) expressed concern that current shipping practices, and specifically ships originating from the Canadian Maritimes and North-eastern United States may be responsible for present and future introductions of aquatic invasive species to Newfoundland (McKenzie et al., 2010). Even though ballast-carrying ships are a highly probable introduction vector for Placentia Bay, we cannot dismiss the potential role of fishing and recreational vessels as alternative vectors, since such vessels have been found to inadvertently carry hitchhiking species on boats or fishing gear (Darbyson, 2006; Klassen & Locke, 2007). It is therefore possible that multiple vectors contributed to the spread of *C. maenas* to Newfoundland.

**CONCLUSION**

*Carcinus maenas* continues to expand its global reach, and efforts to control its movements have yet to halt its momentum. In Newfoundland, mitigation and eradication attempts have only had marginal success. In 2008 and 2009, several Newfoundland organizations – DFO, DFA, MUN and local fish harvesters with the Fish Food and Allied Workers union – conducted experimental mitigation harvesting activities in NH. In 2008, more than 25,000 pounds, or c. 350,000 individual green crabs, were removed via harvesting in 20 days. Unfortunately, even with mitigation attempts, *C. maenas* has continued to spread to new areas of Placentia Bay, and valuable eelgrass beds and local fisheries have become threatened (DFO 2010). The crab has even extended its invasive range far from Placentia Bay: in 2009, *C. maenas* individuals were discovered along the western Newfoundland coast (DFO 2010). Such continued anthropogenic movement around the island poses considerable risk to other coastal regions.

Although the green crab is highly abundant in many areas of Placentia Bay, our genetic analyses suggest that the invasion could have derived from as few as 30 founding individuals (reflecting effective founder size, not census size, which could have been larger). Despite this substantial population bottleneck associated with Newfoundland colonization, Placentia Bay has relatively high genetic diversity (Table 1), which has also been observed in other invasive green crab populations, including Nova Scotia, South Africa and Australia (Roman & Darling, 2007). The fact that little diversity has been lost in Placentia Bay compared with source populations (Table 1) may reflect the importance of demographic parameters in determining the diversity of invasive populations; i.e., rapid population increase following initial bottlenecks could allow colonizing populations to avoid significant losses of diversity relative to their sources, a further sign that invasive species need not exhibit characteristics typical of small founding populations (Roman & Darling, 2007; Wilson et al., 2009). Similar findings in other regions (Tepolt et al. 2009) imply that the species is capable of overcoming relatively extreme population bottlenecks associated with introduction, representing a significant challenge to vector management.

The importance of determining introduction vectors and making appropriate management decisions to reduce the risk of invasion is vital in controlling the impact that this and future invaders can have on the environment and economy around the world. Long-distance dispersal events can greatly increase the range of invasive species, and non-native populations can be important secondary sources of introduction, not only via natural dispersal but also through anthropogenic vectors – potentially allowing species to overcome considerable natural barriers and become established in previously unattainable regions (Wilson et al., 2009). As such, measures aimed at preventing these movements should be an immediate priority, or hitchhiking species, particularly those with invasive qualities, can continue to spread and establish in regions across the globe.
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